

8529
MIME-Version: 1.0
Date: Sun, 01 Aug 2010 17:27:08 +0000
From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Letter to Julian
In-Reply-To: [REDACTED]
References: [REDACTED]
Message-ID: [REDACTED]
X-Sender: [REDACTED]
User-Agent: RoundCube Webmail/0.3.1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

Hæhhæ,

Hér er bréfið sem hann skrifaði.

Sástu greinina hjá NYT um infiltrate a wikileaks með MIT nemendum,

Mér finnst alveg eins og'eg hafi vitað þetta:P

On Sun, 25 Jul 2010 00:57:41 -0400, [REDACTED] wrote:
> Siggi, here is the synopsis of events. Please see that Julian gets
> this, and inform me of any notable response.
>
> Thanks,
> David
>
> -----
>
> In these paragraphs I attempt to relate the details of what has
> been a very nuanced evening. Since nuance does not translate well
> via keystroke, I will do my best to give a bit of background in
> explaining the importance of tonight's events. I hope that the
> subtleties herein find their way safely to you.
>
> The US Government began investigating Manning's friends in Boston
> around mid-June; since then, Eric Schmiedl and I have had an
> interesting time practicing our counter-surveillance techniques on
> the hordes of agents that are, inexplicably, drawn to us. It was
> Eric who first noticed the balding gentleman following him
> throughout the transit system one Saturday night; it was Eric who
> took the first photographs of the conspicuous Asian female that
> waited day-after-day outside his place of residence, leaning on a
> pay-phone that never rang. As Eric's accounts of surveillants grew,
> I also began to notice a retinue of operatives mulling around my
> neighborhood, boxing in my house and waiting on days that Danny
> Clark and I were set to meet. Eric and I would trade stories and
> photos of the unnamed agents we had caught in the act; however, it
> became obvious early on that our ideologies regarding wikileaks,
> Manning, and the US Government diverged. Shortly before HOPE, our
> beliefs dovetailed drastically through a series of stilted
> conversations. We nonetheless remained close friends.
>
> At HOPE, I made it a point to find and hold a conversation with

> Jacob Appelbaum. This was before his Saturday talk, and I
> approached him to let him know that he had friends in Boston, and
> to fill him in on the events in my area. He seemed to know some
> deal about me, and I took this as the first indication that my name
> had somehow been floated by people internal to w1. We parted on
> good terms.

>
> I didn't see Eric much at HOPE, except for Saturday night, when he
> had a semi-private and very lengthy conversation with Adrian Lamo.
> I saw them laughing together after about two hours of conversation;
> I made it a point to speak to Eric about this as soon as we were
> both back in Boston.

>
> In-between HOPE and tonight, Siggie and I were introduced.

>
> July 24, tonight. I biked over to see Eric at the MIT Student
> Center, where he works as a photojournalist for the student
> newspaper, The Tech. The purpose of our meeting was two-fold: 1) I
> needed to receive his collection of images of suspected federal
> agents so that I could forward these to Siggie, and 2) I needed to
> hear about his conversation with Lamo.

>
> As Eric and I began to talk, I noticed some very clear indicators
> that he was employing Elicitation techniques. His tone switched
> alternatively from combative to friendly; he asked open-ended
> questions and waited for my response, and he pressed me for details
> in a manner that he had never done before. Throughout all of this,
> he studied my face carefully for micro-expressions. His eyes swept
> quickly to my temples to watch for stress indicators; he took
> special notice whenever I scratched my nose or fiddled my fingers.
> Right from the outset, I knew that our relationship had somehow
> evolved from friendly to adversarial.

>
> As my suspicions grew over the course of our conversation, I began
> observing his person for out-of-the-ordinary behavior or devices.
> Eric is very fashion-conscious, never leaves anywhere without his
> camera, and exhibits a childlike interest in counter-surveilling
> federal agents. As I studied him over the course of our
> conversation, which took place as we walked around Cambridge, I
> noticed three things:

>
> 1) Eric seemed wholly disconnected from his surroundings. One
> reason that we have our conversations during a walkabout in-public
> is so that we can implement counter-surveillance tactics and subtly
> force government surveillants to reveal themselves, at which point
> Eric takes their picture; during this conversation, Eric did, of
> his own volition, sabotage many of the CS tactics that I was
> leading into. He took the wrong corners, led at the wrong times,
> and avoided chokepoints along our path. I thought he was simply
> being careless until I noticed
> 2) Eric did not have his camera on him. Eric had no intent of
> photographing surveillants during our walk; the ritual of being in
> public had lost all meaning. Except, possibly, to keep me at ease.
> 3) Eric had a pen clipped in the V-neck of his polo shirt. This
> looked particularly dorky, but I wrote it off at the time. I'll get
> back to this.

>
> Towards the end of our walk, as he discussed his conversation with
> Lamo, he began to strongly suggest that Lamo was an active handler

> for the US Government. He presupposed that I worked for w1 based on
> my past ideological support; when I diverted and denied this line
> of questioning, he alluded to positions that may be available for
> people willing to infiltrate and inform on w1. He began flattering
> me, and referenced an Army intelligence investigator, Antonio
> Edwards, who said that I am "destined to work in intelligence." In
> the midst of this conversation, I realized I was being
> propositioned.

>
> When Eric and I returned to the well-lit Tech offices, I saw that
> the top of his pen, clipped onto his V-neck, contained what
> inexplicably looked to be a microphone hole. I later had a chance
> to examine this pen when it was off his person and without his
> supervision, and I noticed that the middle and top parts of the pen
> separated, revealing a female Micro-USB slot. It looked to be a
> typical, amateurish, "I bought this off the internet" spycraft
> listening device. Why he would record our conversation with such a
> device, I do not know. I considered it an insult that he believed
> such a thing would go wholly unnoticed by me.

>
> It is my belief that part of what Eric said was true: that the US
> Government is looking for people to inform on w1, that they had
> identified Bradley's acquaintances as good potential informants,
> and that Adrian was using his cultural influence to actively help
> recruit to this end. It is possible that Adrian is reporting
> directly to Antonio Edwards (Adrian hates the FBI, and will only
> work with Army officials, or so it is rumored).

>
> The first and only time that I met Antonio Edwards, the lead Army
> investigator, was when he knocked on my apartment door in early
> June, before I knew Siggi, before I really knew Nadim, before any
> of this was a reality to me. His parting words after that
> conversation were "If you keep your ear to the ground and hear
> anything interesting, let us know. There could be a big cash reward
> in it for you."

>
> Julian, I have no doubt that the Army, USGOV, whoever is actively
> recruiting people to infiltrate wikileaks. This has been confirmed
> to me twice now: once by the lead investigator in my presence in
> early June, and once tonight, by my former friend Eric. The weight
> of the US surveillance effort really hit home tonight. Please be
> vigilant.

>
> As for my part, I've told Siggi that I am willing to attempt to
> infiltrate Lamo's group via Eric and determine what, exactly, is
> happening regarding Lamo's relationship with USGOV. It may not be
> too late for me to contact Eric and renew his trust, and slip
> onboard. But I would say that such work is dirty, and it pangs me
> to lie to individuals outright. I would ask w1 to carefully
> consider this tactic. If you think it would help, I'm willing to
> try.

>
> I hope this helps.<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

<!DOCTYPE plist PUBLIC "-//Apple//DTD PLIST 1.0//EN"

"http://www.apple.com/DTDs/PropertyList-1.0.dtd">

<plist version="1.0">

<dict>

<key>flags</key>

<integer>8590195713</integer>

```
<key>original-mailbox</key>
```



```
<key>remote-id</key>
```

```
<string>305</string>
```

```
</dict>
```

```
</plist>
```

(19:10:33) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** Hi there

(19:14:13) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Here

(19:14:21) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Otr has some issues

(19:14:33) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** New install

(19:14:50) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Can you read me?

(19:14:55) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** yes i can

(19:15:09) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** that's if you are speaking english:P

(19:15:23) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** K great

(19:15:32) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** So what can i relay?

(19:15:41) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Without getting too specific

(19:15:59) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** you don't want me to get specific?

(19:16:24) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Well otr seems to have a problem

(19:16:30) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** So avoiding

(19:16:48) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** But we are on same server and have ssl

(19:16:53) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** So should be semi ok

(19:17:19) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** ok i might be on the position to get the original logs from lamo,

(19:17:26) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** in the*

(19:17:32) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** or not me, another person

(19:17:37) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Ok

(19:18:26) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** a woman named lauren lamo, contacted a girl that i am not in connection with, Lauren say's see can get the original logs, among photocopies of receipts of drugs and more

(19:19:07) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** do you know a guy named Eric Schmiedel

(19:19:24) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** By name yes

(19:19:32) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Whats his role?

(19:19:36) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** the names is not typed correctly though

(19:19:52) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Ok

(19:20:38) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** let me Paste a email i got

(19:20:53) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** " The US Government began investigating Manning's friends in Boston around mid-June; since then, Eric Schmiedl and I have had an interesting time practicing our counter-surveillance techniques on the hordes of agents that are, inexplicably, drawn to us. It was Eric who first noticed the balding gentleman following him throughout the transit system one Saturday night; it was Eric who took the first photographs of the conspicuous Asian female that waited day-after-day outside his place of residence, leaning on a pay-phone that never rang. As Eric's accounts of surveillants grew, I also began to notice a retinue of operatives mulling around my neighborhood, boxing in my house and waiting on days that Danny Clark and I were set to meet. Eric and I would trade stories and photos of the unnamed agents we had caught in the act; however, it became obvious early on that our ideologies regarding wikileaks, Manning, and the US Government diverged. Shortly before HOPE, our beliefs dovetailed drastically through a series of stilted conversations. We nonetheless remained close friends. At HOPE, I made it a point to find and hold a conversation with Jacob Appelbaum. This was before his Saturday talk, and I approached him to let him know that he had friends in Boston, and to fill him in on the events in my area. He seemed to know some deal about me, and I took this as the first indication that my name had somehow been floated by people internal to wl. We parted on good terms. I didn't see Eric much at HOPE, except for Saturday night, when he had a semi-private and very lengthy conversation with Adrian Lamo. I saw them laughing together after about two hours of conversation; I made it a point to speak to Eric about this as soon as we were both back in Boston. In-between HOPE and tonight, I met you. July 24, tonight. I biked over to see Eric at the MIT Student Center, where he works as a photojournalist for the student newspaper, The Tech. The purpose of our meeting was two-fold: 1) I needed to receive his collection of images of suspected federal agents so that I could forward these you and 2) I needed to hear about his conversation with Lamo. As Eric and I began to talk, I noticed some very clear indicators that he was employing Elicitation techniques. His tone switched

alternatively from combative to friendly; he asked open-ended questions and waited for my response, and he pressed me for details in a manner that he had never done before. Throughout all of this, he studied my face carefully for micro-expressions. His eyes swept quickly to my temples to watch for stress indicators; he took special notice whenever I scratched my nose or fiddled my fingers. Right from the outset, I knew that our relationship had somehow evolved from friendly to adversarial. "

(19:21:57) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** actually it's a total of 3 pages, but what it says is that Eric and Adrian Lamo are currently forming some kind of a team to "spy" on WikiLeaks for army intelligence, I can not guarantee that though

(19:23:34) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** this is not from the girl I am talking to, this is from another guy that I know, I got requested on joining that team, and if that could help at anything having someone in that team, and the girl, if that helps to have the original logs and receipts and more than I will continue on those 2 things.

(19:24:32) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** btw tell J that I got some intel on the lands.xlsx doc

(19:26:06) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** and if I will continue on this shall I inform you on any update, Birgitta or wait for J?

(19:31:14) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Yes

(19:31:19) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Keep me posted

(19:31:34) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** on all of the above?

(19:32:26) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** via jabber or via mail? one final question, do you know why my email address was terminated?

(19:35:25) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Might have happened during cleanup

(19:35:30) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Will ask

(19:36:00) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Need to prep stuff now though

(19:36:05) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Talk later

(19:36:07) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** ok thanks:)

(22:15:07) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** can you restate my email address?

(23:47:19) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Don't have access there

(23:47:26) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** But will reö

(23:47:46) **Tanner@jabber.ccc.de:** Will relay a what's up?

(23:50:50) **singi201@jabber.ccc.de:** hmm?