

22:31:27 <jjj> ok  
22:31:30 <z> hi  
22:31:31 <jjj> we wait on some more  
22:31:39 <jjj> hoi  
22:31:47 <jjj> let me get odin  
22:32:06 <z> ok  
22:32:11 /whois p  
22:32:16 /whois #z  
22:32:20 /whois z  
22:32:41 <Odin> jjj: Ping.  
22:33:20 <p> z, Odin me and you currently. login to oper to see users  
22:34:02 <p> or i can disable the protection for this channel if you want?  
22:35:28 <jjj> ok  
22:35:35 <jjj> disable  
22:35:43 \*\*\* MODE -u  
22:35:44 <jjj> kristinn can't make for technical reasons  
22:35:45 <p> try /names  
22:36:47 <jjj> hang on. i try to things things so kristinn can get it  
22:42:05 \*\*\* VesselinBontchev JOIN  
22:42:18 <VesselinBontchev> heya  
22:42:35 \*\*\* kr JOIN  
22:42:42 <jjj> hoi  
22:42:43 <jjj> ok  
22:42:48 <kr> hi  
22:42:57 <jjj> some good people in the house  
22:43:09 <kr> ok good to hear  
22:43:16 <VesselinBontchev> if you know me you have probably all already figured  
out who'd ever use this nick :p  
22:43:32 <VesselinBontchev> well guess i can reuse my efnet nick  
22:43:34 <jjj> kr is kristinn - icelandic journalist who went to baghdad and is  
doing the new collateral murder production and some stuff in london, registering  
the icelandic non profit  
22:43:37 <VesselinBontchev> its spoofed host anyways so :p  
22:43:39 \*\*\* VesselinBontchev NICK conficker  
22:43:43 <z> please do not identify me  
22:44:14 <jjj> z is good technical person, you might have met him kr, not sure  
22:44:26 <Odin> Icelandic non-profit? 0\_o  
22:44:32 <jjj> vessel is anakata - pirate bay founder  
22:44:43 <kr> no need to identify - i trust there are good people here  
22:44:45 <conficker> ya im now conficker  
22:44:49 <jjj> odin is icelandic guy from digital freedoms society  
22:44:50 <conficker> easier to type :>  
22:44:52 <jjj> thats ok here  
22:45:01 <kr> ok hello everybody  
22:45:07 <jjj> p is good technical guy  
22:45:15 <Odin> kr: Við höfum hitzt. 8)  
22:45:21 <jjj> and that's all who is around presently  
22:45:40 <kr> aha odin hljomadi e-ð islenskt :)  
22:46:12 <jjj> so the order of this meeting is that m+s have taken down wl as a  
revenge manoever  
22:46:26 <jjj> at least the main website  
22:46:35 <jjj> and have also changed the twitter password  
22:46:49 <jjj> and have made themselves uncontactable  
22:47:56 <jjj> this stems from my telling s that he was suspend for one month for  
sewing dissent during a time of crisis  
22:48:10 <jjj> but reviewing on tuesday at a meeting of peers if he wanted  
22:49:48 <jjj> s has some weird problem where he has some weird dilusion about his  
role (he goes around stating that he is the founder and was around in 2006, on the  
sly to people, although he didn't even have an account until 2008), and acts

strangely sometimes

22:50:25 <jjj> during the past week of very difficult allegations against me, he has used them and spread them to try and undermine my leadership

22:50:48 <jjj> this was reported by three people to me, and i warned him to stop it

22:51:11 <jjj> some of the rumors he was promiting were very wrong, i.e such as i had raped people

22:51:39 <jjj> the an article appeared in newsweek earlier today

22:51:45 <jjj> hang on. i'll find it

22:52:02 <Odin> <http://www.newsweek.com/blogs/declassified/2010/08/26/is-wikileaks-too-full-of-itself.html>

22:52:13 <Odin> Just appeared in #wikichat recently.

22:53:25 <jjj> . Insiders say that some people affiliated with the website are already brainstorming whether there might be some way to persuade their front man to step aside, or failing that, even to oust him.

22:54:22 <jjj> since that's pretty serious to have in the open, and there were some details that strongly suggested this came from close to us, I asked him who had been speaking

22:54:23 <jjj> to

22:54:36 <jjj> about this type of issue

22:54:45 <jjj> named a bunch of WL people

22:54:54 <jjj> but then when pushed also said CCC people

22:54:56 <jjj> people

22:55:10 <jjj> when pushed, refused to say how many or their positions

22:55:45 <jjj> i insisted that he do that, and he refused, he was warned he had to answer in order to track down how that was getting to news week, and refused again

22:56:12 <jjj> he was warned he would be suspended under such circumstances if he refused

22:56:14 <jjj> and was

22:57:16 <jjj> now he's been feeding m, who has some of the keys to the site, some nonsense, and took the side down, without warning as revenge or some kind of show of strength

22:57:58 <jjj> both have no become incommunicado with everyone else

22:58:00 <jjj> nwo

22:58:01 <jjj> now

22:58:41 <jjj> needless to say this is a maximally bad moment generally, and very disappointing to me personally, since I have a lot on my plate

22:59:49 <jjj> my presumption, given the intrinsics is that after this revenge and destructive period there will be an attempted rapprochement

23:01:15 <Odin> So... then what?

23:01:15 <jjj> but the destabilization and unilaterally sabotage is not acceptable in a time of crisis. it sucks. its wrong. its a betrayal. whatever issues people have these can be worked through, but sabotaging the site for everyone is not right

23:02:03 <jjj> i am open to ideas. theres #1 short term issues #2 medium term issues to prevent a repeat

23:04:27 <Odin> Deal with the shutdown, allow their cover story to stand and move into figuring out a decent way of bringing a level of transparency to the organisation as quickly as possible. That's the main problem from every perspective you try to look at it.

23:04:36 <jjj> philosophically, the issue here is that political leadership has been aggressively attacked without over the past week or so. although that appears to have almost entirely been resolved. but it has permitted internal opportunism. that combined with a failing (me giving too much trust) to enforce political leadership and technical control be matched. political leadership must always be in control of basic assets (financial/technical). if there is failure of

23:04:37 <jjj> in political leadership, then political leadership must change, but to have divergence is lethal

23:05:59 <jjj> odin: I think there needs to be stronger messages of political consensus. i.e what people believe, want and thinks is appropriate and who they are happy to do it

23:06:46 <jjj> the relative isolation of s+m from the other teams and non-irc powerbase has permitted them to thing such an action is tolerable without consultation

23:07:17 <kr> There is an urgent need to resolve this. To much at stake at the moment.

23:07:53 <jjj> needless to say i hate this sort of thing and i hate now especially. to be stabbed in the front (pentagon) side (swedish thing) and back all at once is not fun

23:08:20 <jjj> p?

23:08:31 <z> There is a major issue at the heart of this problem.

23:08:38 <z> It directly relates to communication.

23:08:39 <jjj> did you look at getting this up?

23:08:45 <p> i'm there

23:08:53 <z> We need to have a centralized communication plan, as we have right now

23:09:02 <z> We currently all play a game of telephone

23:09:11 <z> S and M could not do this without such a game of telephone.

23:09:24 <jjj> p are you looking at the site. i redired the dns now

23:10:20 <p> master and slave machines paths etc too

23:10:42 <p> so effectively its back

23:10:47 <p> wl.org too

23:11:01 <jjj> s is problematic, and, frankly, delusional, an illmotivated, but he can be kept in a box if he has other people telling him what is wrong and right and what he can do and can not do. when he is left in his germanic bubble he floats

23:11:27 <p> m only turned off front caches for some time, then you turned off dns. so now both are back

23:14:30 <z> This brings up an issue that is important - we do not have any secure place to communicate.

23:14:38 [Odin reiterates that the solution is bringing some measure of transparency to the whole affair.]

23:14:39 <jjj> but it doesn't seem to have done that. rather it has given s an excuse to spread the FUD he always wanted to spread

23:14:45 <z> Jabber with OTR is simply not good enough - it lacks privacy and security, it lacks group chat.

23:14:49 <Odin> Internally and externally.

23:14:54 <z> Transparency does not fix this.

23:14:58 <z> Solidarity is the fix.

23:15:08 <z> We must not assume that J is a rapist when a rumor is started.

23:15:20 <z> We must assume that he is innocent until otherwise proven.  
23:15:26 <z> We must assume this about everyone.  
23:15:28 <jjj> solidarity comes from people showing they are in the same boat, and trust worthy, and seeing who people generally support and find trustworthy  
23:15:32 <z> We will all be under major attack in the next months.  
23:15:43 <z> We are all going to be put under this bus.  
23:15:56 <z> We will be slapped with USB sticks full of child porn, accused of rape, family members threatened.  
23:16:02 <z> We must not fragment.  
23:16:08 <z> We must organize and be strong or we will all fail.  
23:16:30 <p> agree, inside battles do a lot of harm that no other means are able to  
23:16:34 <z> Transparency will only help to target the people who are not public about their relation to WL.

23:16:54 <z> We must protect all privately affiliated persons.  
23:17:08 <jjj> s pushed m into some crazed state, where it was believed I was running off with our donations or something  
23:17:22 <z> The main concern is that you are a loose cannon.  
23:17:41 <z> They do not understand your mental state; they do not understand what you are thinking or how you are acting.  
23:17:48 <z> It isn't for them to understand that.  
23:17:58 <jjj> if I am gone for 2 weeks, or there is outside pushing, that happens because of malignant inputs and internal discord sewed by s, not dampened  
23:17:59 <z> It is however quite clear that you need to know that about them and act accordingly.  
23:18:16 <z> S did not sew discord with me.  
23:18:24 <z> He did express major concern stemming from VCHAT.  
23:18:46 <jjj> z, he is subtle in how he does it  
23:18:58 <z> I only know what I have experienced.  
23:19:02 <jjj> i am aware of it now. as is sue  
23:19:07 <z> He and M clearly believe that you are playing a dangerous game.  
23:19:19 <z> I do not think they are entirely incorrect.  
23:19:26 <z> To make sure that we are all safe, we must stay in communication.  
23:19:30 <jjj> yes  
23:19:34 <z> We must avoid the game of telephone.  
23:19:38 <z> They should be here.  
23:19:45 <z> We need a real secure communications channel.  
23:19:46 <jjj> they need to confront me and not sew discord  
23:19:54 <z> I suggest a new irc server as a Tor hidden service.  
23:19:58 <jjj> m does not, actually do that. m is basically well intentioned  
23:20:04 <jjj> modulo usual human issues  
23:20:04 <z> Where only trusted people may connect.  
23:20:16 <z> Where we must all be idle at all times, to see the primary conversation.  
23:20:28 <z> This will open us up to internal leaks from a single group, consisting of the entire set of people involved.  
23:20:36 <z> But there will be no more lies, no more discord.  
23:20:40 <z> No confusion.  
23:20:51 <z> I understand that there are major things afoot right now to attack us  
23:21:06 <z> We cannot afford to have disconnected infighting at this stage in the game.  
23:21:07 <jjj> it is a bad sign to see people fall for this  
23:21:10 <jjj> it means we are weak  
23:21:14 <z> We are weak.  
23:21:21 <jjj> very weak  
23:21:23 <z> We are too centralized in some ways and not enough in other ways.  
23:22:17 <jjj> z, we could do an irc multi-server onion  
23:22:17 <z> We need verified OTR keys.

23:22:25 <z> The CCC Jabber server is compromised.  
23:22:28 <jjj> the problem is central points of fail  
23:22:35 <z> It does not support proper SSL.  
23:22:39 <z> It must be destroyed.  
23:22:47 <jjj> z, what is your intel?  
23:22:58 <z> If the key for that server leaks, we have no perfect forward secrecy.  
23:23:04 <z> The server has been compromised.  
23:23:12 <jjj> i always hated it, but it was impossible to get people off it  
23:23:14 <z> The key is likely going to leak if it is not destroyed.  
23:23:24 <z> We need someone in this group to:  
23:23:27 <jjj> we still have otr but the traffic data is an issue  
23:23:30 <z> setup a .onion for jabber  
23:23:47 <jjj> jabber itself is too problematic. too many attack vectors  
23:24:03 <z> IRC + .onion + OTR works  
23:24:09 <z> It provides everything without XML parsers.  
23:24:13 <Odin> Bugger Jabber.  
23:24:17 <z> None the less, we need .onions.  
23:24:19 <jjj> silc+otr?  
23:24:27 <jjj> the problem is the lack of identy auth  
23:24:32 <z> silc has more problems than jabber  
23:24:35 <jjj> otr will do that for private  
23:24:36 <z> There is work being done on the ccc jabber server  
23:24:42 <z> It will be finished in the month  
23:24:48 <z> silc provides secure group chat.  
23:24:48 <jjj> i doubt silc has more probs that jabber  
23:24:51 <jjj> very much  
23:24:59 <jjj> jabber is a very complex protocol  
23:25:06 <z> silc + .onion + OTR is probably best.  
23:25:19 <jjj> and silc does multi-server  
23:25:30 <Odin> We're into technicalities here. I believe there are non-tech oriented folks present as well.  
23:25:46 <jjj> i found client compatibility patchy we i relooked at it a few months back  
23:25:53 <z> We need everyone here to understand this enough to use it.  
23:26:24 <kr> im hangin in there  
23:26:27 <z> Pidgin, while insecure, when combined with Tor can protect most of this.  
23:26:28 <jjj> pidgin does silc+otr  
23:26:36 <z> Is everyone here able to use Pidgin + OTR plugin + Tor?  
23:26:52 <z> If so, we can have a central conversational command center.  
23:26:52 <jjj> and isn't too hard to config for tor. although i'm not sure about a .onion. that may be harder  
23:27:07 <z> it's trivial  
23:27:15 <z> Just set the host name and a proxy  
23:27:15 <Odin> jjj: If it does remote DNS, which is should, no problem.  
23:27:24 <Odin> s/is/it/;  
23:27:29 <kr> i would need support for this  
23:27:30 <z> Pidgin supports SOCKS5  
23:27:41 <z> kr: OK  
23:27:44 <jjj> .onion will give back an ip?  
23:27:49 <z> yes  
23:27:53 <z> .onion maps a false IP  
23:27:53 <jjj> ok  
23:27:57 <jjj> good  
23:28:04 <z> Ok  
23:28:15 <z> So we now know how we will talk and that we all need to use it regularly.  
23:28:21 <z> That should be done from a computer that does nothing else.  
23:28:29 <z> Or nothing else in the same client.

23:28:33 <jjj> we also need a store-forward mechanism  
23:28:41 <z> If you login to that and your public chat client, we are in trouble  
23:28:51 <z> It will link your real name to your nick name.  
23:29:17 <jjj> pidgin default?  
23:29:21 <z> If we all agree to go there, we will have a good central place to talk  
- with privacy for everyone  
23:29:24 <jjj> we can modify silc  
23:29:33 <z> pidgin comes with silc by default  
23:29:42 <z> no modification required, nor should we  
23:29:45 <jjj> the server  
23:29:45 <z> silc is fragile enough  
23:29:48 <jjj> to not pass usernames  
23:29:59 <z> the concern is a side channel, not directly  
23:30:04 <jjj> there has been a couple of exploits in libsilc  
23:30:12 <z> yes  
23:30:20 <jjj> but it can't be anything like as bad as the complexity of xmpp  
23:30:21 <z> we can use the .onion auth  
23:30:25 <z> to firewall it  
23:30:43 <z> HidServAuth  
23:30:50 <jjj> still wont prvent client<->client attacks  
23:30:54 <jjj> which is the issue  
23:30:56 <jjj> get one, get them all  
23:30:57 <Odin> It still leaves key management issues.  
23:31:12 <z> If we cannot trust everyone in the group, we are lost.  
23:31:15 <jjj> hang on  
23:31:17 <jjj> i bring another  
23:31:23 <z> Let us move back to social issues.  
23:31:32 <z> The technical things can be worked out internally.  
23:31:39 <p> do we need an instant messenger for all key messages? maybe the most  
important can be hidden e.g. within newsgroups?  
23:31:41 <kr> good idea  
23:31:52 <p> and keep the less important stuff on normal irc, but with people  
safely connected  
23:33:01 <Odin> The social issues mostly consist of limited internal communications  
and no clear structure.  
23:33:33 <kr> that is true Odin  
23:33:47 <Odin> Hell, \*I\* don't know that there's any structure beyond "'cause  
Julian says so" behind \_any\_ of this.  
23:34:01 <z> There is structure.  
23:34:02 <kr> the problem is short term long term here  
23:34:27 <jjj> sue will be here soon  
23:34:31 <z> ok  
23:34:40 <Odin> And that, for mid- to long-term is damaging. Structure should be  
externally visible.  
23:34:49 <jjj> odin. there are legal structures, etc but those are not relevant  
23:35:04 <z> People taking the risks make their own calls about their own personal  
safety and their own personal actions.  
23:35:06 <jjj> odin: i don't think they need to be externally visible  
23:35:10 <jjj> i think that is a non-issue  
23:35:13 <Odin> jjj: Not talking or thinking about legal structures. I mean the  
cybernetics of the organisation.  
23:35:18 <jjj> the issue is that they are not \*interally\* vissible  
23:35:28 <Odin> jjj: Yes, that's what's causing us immediate problems.  
23:35:54 <jjj> p, what do you mean?  
23:36:07 <jjj> p, how are your manual one time pad skills?  
23:36:15 <Odin> jjj: But being externally invisible is also eroding credibility to  
a disturbing extent, and enables stuff like the 'insider' messages.  
23:36:43 <jjj> odin: those are drowned out by other things 1000x now  
23:36:47 <z> The insider is gone after the HOPE talk.

23:36:48 <p> that some of the key messages can be transmitted to very public places, like newsgroups, but only few people would really understand/find them  
23:36:52 <z> Cryptome is irrelevant.  
23:36:53 <p> hm?  
23:37:05 <Odin> jjj: 'stuff like'. Not worried about those messages.  
23:37:05 <z> The insider was never an insider.  
23:37:08 <z> It was bullshit.  
23:37:19 <jjj> total bullshit  
23:37:32 <jjj> but the attacks on us from other quarters are vastly higher  
23:37:49 <Odin> I know those things. But it managed to gain some traction among those who \_didn't\_ know, and other attacks will, too.  
23:37:55 <jjj> what we need is strong internal coherency, faith in leadership, faith in each other  
23:38:10 <Odin> Reason: There's no easy way to show that they're rubbish.  
23:38:50 <kr> od: you dont have to, Its hearsay  
23:38:58 <jjj> the external coherency won't be so much in structures. it will be in making sure words and actions are aligned and properly defneded. and getting more people to support me in public roles so we look more unified  
23:39:07 <kr> it will be drowned by real action  
23:39:08 <Odin> kr: Hearsay can damage reputations.  
23:39:25 <kr> we should know  
23:40:18 <jjj> odin: best way to deal with that is to network our friends list. i have a plan in my head for that. and we've been collecting names for the "friends of wikileaks" we just need to network them together and they'll take off as an army of defneders. like the scientologists have or the jews. that is how to destroy the smears  
23:40:45 <jjj> we are already seeing some of that. people are becoming educated and finding each other  
23:41:32 <jjj> we are developing a strong support base. in sweden there's a message thread 5000 messages long, for example digging out the truth about those sex claims  
23:41:52 <jjj> p, web site back up and happy now?  
23:42:00 <p> yes  
23:42:06 <p> as i said, all works now  
23:42:23 <z> p: can you create a hidden service?  
23:42:25 <Odin> jjj: Just beware of the 'not your personal army' factor of /b/tards.  
23:42:31 <z> p: Install a silcd  
23:42:43 <p> no, i can't control the network routes  
23:42:45 <z> p: The hidden service should be per user  
23:43:10 <z> p: Read about HiddenServiceAuthorizeClient  
HiddenServiceAuthorizeClient  
23:43:24 <z> p: Tor client only - It is not a real server  
23:43:41 <conficker> heyae  
23:43:43 <p> this is not a problem, the problem is that i can't open any ports for anyone to connect, for example  
23:44:03 <p> can't install any service because it won't be reachable  
23:44:14 <conficker> i was thinking of implementing a jabber client thats SANE  
23:44:18 <conficker> unlike all other jabber clients  
23:44:29 <p> it's xml, can't be  
23:44:34 <Odin> conficker: That only means someone else will consider it insane.  
23:44:54 <jjj> c: sane on jabber is like intelligent on dementia.  
23:44:57 <conficker> p: my xml parsing lib is sane !  
23:45:12 <p> but it's still xml, and still ugly xmpp style...  
23:45:26 <conficker> and client itself would be written in hX which is certainly a sane language  
23:45:49 <jjj> con, would you trust it for the most secure coms though?  
23:46:07 <jjj> so many code paths in all that complexity  
23:46:22 <Odin> I'm still interested in how key management can be handled.  
23:46:49 <p> ircd as hidden tor service should work best, with passworded channels

perhaps? one-time channels, after each meeting is over they are changed

23:47:05 <conficker> jjj: code paths in what?

23:47:08 <conficker> p: ya

23:47:12 <conficker> all ircds FUCKING SUCK though

23:47:14 <jjj> silc does most of this for you and otr the rest

23:47:21 <p> this ircd is nice

23:47:24 <conficker> yeah but the existing silc code FUCKING SUCKS

23:47:26 <conficker> p: dude

23:47:31 <p> otr with multi-user chats?

23:47:38 <conficker> it took me <10min to find a remote 0day in it

23:47:38 <conficker> :p

23:47:44 <conficker> thats not nice.

23:47:49 <jjj> con: yes. that's also true. there just needs to be a total rewrite

23:47:55 <p> in this, which we run now?

23:47:59 <conficker> p: yeah

23:48:00 <Odin> p: OTR doesn't do multi-user.

23:48:04 <p> yeah

23:48:08 <p> hence asking

23:48:09 <conficker> there are probably more where that one came from haha

23:48:17 <p> hm

23:48:20 <jjj> p, did you answer the question about manual otps?

23:48:21 <z> otr has no group chat yet

23:48:33 <conficker> as for crypto

23:48:37 <conficker> im a great fan of curve25519 :P

23:48:45 <z> silc may be exploitable but if we assume all players are honest, we are OK

23:48:50 <jjj> con: write one from scratch. we need it. everyone needs it

23:48:52 <z> if they are not honest, IRC does not provide protection

23:49:16 <jjj> c: me too

23:49:18 <conficker> z: i was the target of a \_very\_ targeted (uh) attempt to clientside the silc client lib

23:49:30 <conficker> after that, no more silc for me plzk

23:49:38 <jjj> c: how did you spot it?

23:49:43 <z> conficker: I was also the target of such an attack.

23:49:58 <conficker> jjj: i pity da fool who tries to sploit mah custom kernels!

23:50:04 <z> my client was running in an emulator

23:50:08 <conficker> z: cool. lets compare coredumps? :)

23:50:18 <conficker> wait

23:50:29 <conficker> am i not the only one who had what looks like

23:50:37 <conficker> 1. libsilcclient exploit

23:50:41 <conficker> 2. emulator (qemu) breakout

23:50:45 <conficker> all in one great package?

23:50:58 <z> I do not use x86 emulators.

23:51:00 <Odin> Main A record points to a dynadot parking spot now.

23:51:03 <z> This is too technical.

23:51:15 <z> We need to discuss the social issues.

23:51:16 \*\*\* sd JOIN

23:51:27 <sd> hi all

23:51:34 <kr> hi

23:51:36 <z> identify

23:51:37 <z> ?

23:51:37 /whois sd

23:51:39 <jjj> sue

23:51:43 <z> ok

23:51:46 <jjj> underground

23:51:52 <sd> :-)

23:51:55 <sd> what is going on?

23:51:57 <kr> Kristinn here

23:52:03 <conficker> i should finish writing the ircd i started some while ago i

guess :>  
23:52:05 <sd> hi K nice to meet you.  
23:52:14 <conficker> irc isnt the best of protocols (and irc clients arent the best of implementations!)  
23:52:34 <kr> sd: same  
23:52:50 <jjj> sd, conficker is friend i visited in cambodia  
23:52:58 <conficker> but certainly a relatively sane way to communicate  
23:52:59 <p> what's better then? never seen a better form of communication, more flexiible and nice  
23:53:08 <conficker> yeah  
23:53:10 <p> clean, easy to parse, nice  
23:53:12 <conficker> just that the irc protocol sucks  
23:53:14 <jjj> z is that guy you saw me getting wet with  
23:53:42 <conficker> hm  
23:53:45 <sd> sounds kinky.  
23:53:53 <conficker> i guess i could implement irc as a hook module in apphttpd :D  
23:54:02 <conficker> irc over http definitely doesnt sound sane though :D  
23:54:10 <Odin> Could we try and keep on track, though?  
23:54:14 <jjj> i will privately brief sd to bring her up to date  
23:54:15 <Odin> Someone mentioned social issues.  
23:54:21 <z> Yes.  
23:54:22 <jjj> continue on  
23:54:36 <z> We have the technical ability to do everything; we have agreed to have a .onion for chatting  
23:54:49 <z> it is undecided if this is with irc or silc, we can decide that by letting someone implement it  
23:54:56 <z> the social issues are clear  
23:55:06 <z> J must address the rumors at least internally, in a clear way  
23:55:11 <conficker> i had some ideas about tinfoilhat additions to my memory mgmt lib also.. storing everything encrypted in memory to lessen the effect of mem leaks, reading out RAM, etc  
23:56:39 <kr> We should assume that the rumors will surface as well  
23:56:46 <z> yes  
23:56:52 <z> We must also assume that the rumors are false  
23:56:59 <kr> true  
23:57:01 <Odin> Rule of law.  
23:57:04 <z> Everyone here should expect the same.  
23:57:13 <kr> totally  
23:57:14 <Odin> It's a damn useful thing, really.  
23:57:18 <z> Others have similar problems to J  
23:57:47 <jjj> yes  
23:58:36 <jjj> all do who enter into such a spot light, let alone have enemies like we do that want to create, or amplify every god damn thing  
23:58:38 <kr> z: that a question?  
23:59:21 <z> I have had major problems.  
23:59:22 <kr> as i stuck my neck out I will expect anything  
23:59:30 <z> My life is currently under major attack from multiple sides.  
23:59:48 <z> I expect to be arrested within the month.  
00:00:13 <z> I hope to avoid it if possible.  
00:00:20 <kr> z: what are the charges  
00:01:05 <jjj> finished briefing sd in a few mins  
00:01:24 <kr> or should I say - will it be linked to WL z  
00:01:28 <z> I have not been formally accused but my legal team believes the charges would be possibly related to espionage, treason, other charges.  
00:01:29 <jjj> kr: something fake  
00:01:33 <z> All related to WL.  
00:01:34 <z> All incorrect.  
00:01:39 <jjj> for sure. but possibly espionage  
00:02:02 <jjj> just a harassment move. seen to be doing something

00:03:04 <z> Yes. Of course.  
00:03:10 <z> I expect it and I have prepared.  
00:03:24 <z> The only thing left to do is to leave and I am not ready to do that yet.  
00:05:55 <kr> back to the primary issue; the house has to be put into order - communications fixed and lines of responsibility clarified  
00:06:14 <kr> this org can not be weak in these times.  
00:06:21 <z> I agree.  
00:06:58 <kr> We have an ongoing project - a major one - that is at stake here  
00:08:08 <kr> attacks from the outside will be intense  
00:08:31 <kr> so this is the time to stay firm and united  
00:09:14 <Odin> Let's just not fall prey to the notion of democratic centralism.  
00:09:14 <kr> this is the worst time for internal problems to arise - so they have to be dealt with swiftly  
00:10:03 <z> Odin: What do you propose?  
00:11:52 <Odin> z: Federative structure, preferably incorporating the Friends structure to a meaningful degree. But that's a mid- to long-term development.  
00:13:07 <Odin> z: But democratic centralism is simply dangerous, and lends itself far, far too easily to rigid and dogmatic organisations riddled with cult-of-personality.  
00:13:22 <sd> Hi all. back. now up to speed.  
00:13:44 <kr> any mid to long term ideas are good. We need to focus somewhat on short term.  
00:14:10 <jjj> odin: yes. friends will help with that  
00:14:19 <jjj> and provide seed points  
00:14:26 <jjj> but for now we have to get on with the show  
00:14:42 <jjj> we're still a small outfit  
00:14:44 <jjj> very small  
00:14:50 <Odin> jjj: That's why I've been hoping to see it get operational fast.  
00:15:00 <jjj> just with a lot of adversity in communications and how unity of political will is seen  
00:15:30 <jjj> odin: talk to me about that as soon as we are back.  
00:15:45 <kr> J has a leadership role that cannot be undermined at this stage, even if anyone has issues about how he operates.  
00:15:53 <jjj> I know how it should be done. it just needs some basic infrastructure support mechanism to put people in contact  
00:16:00 \*\*\* sd QUIT Ping timeout: 121 seconds  
00:16:42 <jjj> i refetch sd, hang on  
00:17:46 <Odin> kr: Leadership that must not be undermined in crises tends to turn into leadership that must not be undermined, ever.  
00:18:07 \*\*\* MODE +oooo conficker jjj kr Odin p  
00:18:11 \*\*\* MODE +o z  
00:18:15 <jjj> thanks  
00:19:10 <kr> odin: that is a risk we might have to take - or else sink the ship  
00:19:19 \*\*\* sd JOIN  
00:19:23 <jjj> re, sd  
00:19:26 <jjj> welcome back  
00:19:34 <sd> weird.  
00:19:43 <sd> DSD obviously couldnt hear me so reset.  
00:19:44 <sd> :-)  
00:19:48 <jjj> :)  
00:19:53 <Odin> kr: I have a t-shirt that reads "don't rock the boat - sink the fucker".  
00:19:58 <jjj> sd, we have discussed a few issues  
00:20:20 <z> conficker, i need a jabber address for you before we finish  
00:20:21 <sd> what was the last thing you saw from me?  
00:20:29 <kr> the problem seems to mostly lack of communication and willingness to voice criticism in a constructive manner  
00:21:04 <z> J can you do the honors now?

00:21:07 <z> J are you a rapist?  
00:21:14 <z> Lets clear it out and get it over with.  
00:21:27 <sd> my recommendations are these: 1. set up a channel of communications with that group. 2. Find out what their issues are 3. Tell them that it is one thing to walk away in protest about something, and another to act destructively 4. see if what they want is reasonable  
00:21:45 <jjj> these are basically 1) we need to improve our multiparty communications and standarize them and use them regularly to prevent political drifts and misunderstandings 2) we agree to not listen to rumors and have faith in each other about these until confronted 4) a time of crisis is no time to tackle any leadership issues  
00:22:00 <jjj> there are some longer term issues  
00:22:05 <jjj> and then there is the pressing one  
00:22:12 <sd> how about this.  
00:22:39 <kr> sd: good points  
00:22:40 <jjj> we need to hit m over the head with a mallot and put sense into him and get the website back  
00:22:41 <sd> I cant understand that they took down the site. <shakes head>  
00:23:05 <sd> listen. we can spin that if we have to. I dont like it but we can.  
00:23:16 <Odin> jjj: And we need to establish some \_defined\_ way of dealing with accusations of the sort you confronted s with.  
00:23:18 <sd> that is not the major issue. major issue to to get the team all pulling in same direction.  
00:23:24 <sd> everything else flows from that  
00:23:24 <jjj> its not a reasonable grounds for negotiation to have the website down  
00:23:41 <Odin> That's a root problem.  
00:24:00 <jjj> odin: yes. there should probably be a disciplinary panel.  
00:24:02 <Odin> There's no mechanism for dealing with proven or alleged misbehaviour.  
00:24:12 <z> J: Part of the frustration literally comes from you avoiding questions.  
00:24:28 <jjj> z, which ones?  
00:24:35 <jjj> no one puts them to me!  
00:24:38 <Odin> <http://akpress.org/2009/items/comehellorhighwater> makes very good reading on these issues.  
00:24:51 <z> Ok  
00:24:57 <z> J - here are the current rumors

[REDACTED]

00:25:09 <Odin> jjj: Because you're often unresponsive and nobody's quite sure if it's because you're avoiding things or because you're busy.  
00:25:51 <jjj> z. no. that wasn't so hard, was it?  
00:25:54 <sd> The offer i would make to them, in absence of comment from them, is this. 1. We will have a face to face pow wow to discuss org structure, accountability, transparency etc issue with a set date after launch. 2. EVERYONE pulls together for launch. 3. No more major launches after this until 1 happens. No more crises.  
00:26:16 <z> J Thank you  
00:26:30 <jjj> i'm extremely busy. but no one has asked me these question. and there needs to be some understanding that i can't be constantly harped at with every idiot rumor, also.  
00:26:37 <z> sd: I agre  
00:27:04 <sd> but we all have to agree that all three points are a commitment from all sides  
00:27:08 <kr> sd: right approach  
00:27:11 <Odin> Which launch?  
00:27:14 <sd> any renigging and bad things happen  
00:27:19 <jjj> there also needs to be an understanding about the role of security

and financial people

00:27:34 <jjj> it is not their role to take the whole organization hostage

00:27:44 <jjj> it is their role to be neutral custodians

00:27:56 <sd> clearly they dont see it tht way

00:28:31 <kr> sd: how do they see it

00:28:31 <z> There is a reason for that.

00:28:43 <z> J is seen as a non-neutral person.

00:28:45 <sd> I dont know.

00:28:49 <z> The entire group rests on three people in public.

00:28:54 <sd> but if they did see their role that way they would not have done this

00:29:00 <Odin> jjj: They most likely feel taken for granted, which is a bad spot to be in.

00:29:04 <jjj> then they will be distrusted and stripped of any meaningful role in decision making to keep them out of such actions. that's what real states do to police that abuse their power

00:29:21 <z> J cannot go to lawyers in Mississippi and discuss facts without stirring major discord.

00:30:05 <jjj> jjj, s may have some specific feelings, (delusions actually i think) but this is no excuse for trying to sink the whole boat. it isn't just my boat

00:30:17 <jjj> its the boat of history, of our sources and so on

00:30:21 <sd> I'm quite perplexed they have taken the site down. Are they trying to do us damage? just send a message? are they actually malicious? have they been infiltrated? I just dont know what the meaning is exactly. IT needs to be nuanced

00:30:45 <jjj> it's a show of bad character and power

00:30:49 <z> They are trying to send a message.

00:30:52 <z> The message is clear.

00:30:56 <jjj> union lockout

00:31:07 <jjj> but just by the security guards

00:31:10 <z> The message is that J needs to communicate with them. They feel hung out to dry without the power to change anything.

00:31:15 <sd> well no. I dont know the message is clear

00:31:21 <z> They are not malicious.

00:31:23 <sd> I WANT The message to be clear.

00:31:26 <sd> that is why they need to talk to us

00:31:33 <z> I have talked with them.

00:31:42 <z> The message is clear to me.

00:31:45 <sd> ok. I see.

00:31:53 <sd> so this is a "I will make you sit at the table to talk to me"

00:32:05 <jjj> z. that is not true.

00:32:07 <sd> are you confident they have not been infiltrated?

00:32:08 <z> Yes.

00:32:11 <z> Yes.

00:32:12 <sd> ok

00:32:14 <z> I am confident.

00:32:16 <jjj> m is manipulated. s is actively malicious

00:32:22 <z> They are concerned about issues in Iceland.

00:32:35 <z> S said that \*some\* things there are very negative right now.

00:32:38 <z> Not all of them.

00:32:47 <z> But he and M require a seat at the table.

00:32:49 <Odin> jjj: I am in no way convinced that it's that clear-cut.

00:32:55 <z> They should be here and they are not

00:33:00 <z> I do not know why they are not here.

00:33:06 <sd> ok. better. because without comms it is sometimes hard to know exactly.

00:33:25 <z> Yes.

00:33:29 <z> I talk to S regularly.

00:33:44 <z> He is a german; he is not malicious or infiltrated.

00:33:52 <z> He is upset because he feels that J is misbehaving.

00:33:56 <kr> z: what issues in iceland. I met s this weekend and gave him a full

report on progress on all front

00:33:59 <z> I had no idea they would do this.

00:34:02 <kr> full disclosure

00:34:19 <z> kr: Apparently the discussion of being followed in Iceland went over poorly.

00:34:31 <z> Also, apparently IMMI is having major issues because of some statements made in the press.

00:35:08 <jjj> z. he is malicious. he has lost my confidence after iceland lasttime and has not regained it

00:35:12 <sd> made me laugh. "He is german, not malicious or infiltrated." that is very funny

00:35:37 <Odin> jjj: What are the allegations against him?

00:35:39 <jjj> kr is in a position to speak properly about iceland

00:36:22 <kr> well I don't see issues. Press has a problem separating IMMI and WL

00:36:45 <sd> lets get to some practicalities. Do we have a SECURE method of comms where and when we can all talk?

00:37:01 <jjj> we have here, which is not too bad, we are working on another

00:37:13 <z> This location is not safe enough.

00:37:18 <z> We need at least a hidden service.

00:37:19 <Odin> Secure against what threats?

00:37:22 <z> Anything else can be MITM'ed

00:37:29 <sd> well I have a problem at this end because my comms machine was in the middle of being secured. and i am not totally confident in this machine

00:37:53 <sd> so i could not participate in that chat without a more confirmed secured machine for ex

00:37:57 <sd> which is fine I dont have to be there

00:38:00 <jjj> z, can you and pl work on the onion replacement?

00:38:13 <z> Give me a machine and I will make it happen.

00:38:18 <sd> but I use it as an example

00:38:53 <sd> ok. I can do that in a very short while but I dont want to jump the queue when there are more important tech crises happening.

00:39:20 <z> He did not tell me.

00:39:23 <z> I told him.

00:39:30 <z> I asked him what others have been saying in vchat.

00:39:35 <jjj> z: about iceland?

00:39:37 <z> I was approached by an outside party.

00:39:43 <jjj> z: and being followed?

00:39:46 <sd> I dont think we can have this discussion with them unless we agree to plan forward not criticise backward.

00:43:08 <sd> guys, this may be an important discussion but can I suggest there are higher order items. Like asking them for a set of concrete things they want

00:43:09 <z> I know

00:43:16 <z> We do not need to discuss the drama in depth.

00:43:19 <sd> so we can get website back up

00:43:28 <jjj> no we do

00:43:30 <z> We only need to discuss that IT IS POSSIBLE that S is not acting maliciously.

00:43:33 <z> Rather

00:43:38 <jjj> we need to knock this crap out before it flourishes any more

00:43:42 <z> They did this to force this discussion.

00:43:45 <z> They are not here.

00:43:49 <jjj> z. it is not possible

00:43:51 <z> I do not know why they are not here.

00:44:00 <z> The discussion is happening because of this.

00:44:10 <jjj> it is possible that he has multiple motivations, but he's definitely acting maliciously

00:44:15 <z> the action is inappropriate but the goal is not.

00:44:21 <sd> yes well we are back to two thing that need to be done. 1. arrange logistics for a time/place/avenue for secure comms discussion 2. get them to document a concrete list of what they are looking for

00:44:46 <sd> The discussion has to be secured.

00:45:11 <jjj> well.

00:45:11 <z> Yes.

00:45:17 <jjj> let me canvas another issue

00:45:21 <jjj> i do not trust s

00:45:25 <jjj> err

00:45:26 <jjj> s

00:45:31 <jjj> i have not since iceland

00:45:35 <Odin> Why?

00:45:49 <jjj> and i will never again

00:46:23 <z> Why?

00:46:25 <sd> J: doesnt matter in the sense that if he via others have direct control over the site, that's a bargaining chip. means there has to ustbe discussion regardless of tr

00:46:33 <sd> er regardless of trust

00:46:33 <sd> level

00:46:33 <jjj> because things like this have happened many times, and i think he

has a screw loose

00:46:50 <jjj> i just do not trust and can not work with the guy

00:47:30 <sd> J: there may be a way for you and he to work separately for same aim but SEPARATED

00:47:32 <z> You two need to kiss and make up

00:47:45 <jjj> i keep trying to put him somewhere harmless and then when he gets a moment to act badly, he does

00:47:50 <z> There is no question in my mind that your issue is interpersonal.

00:47:54 <sd> only way to find out is to discuss. and we dont have much choice but to discuss since site is hostage

00:48:07 <jjj> z. it is not possible.

00:48:28 <sd> J's trust issues may be legitimate. but it doesnt matter. real politik is we have to open a discussion with him

00:49:05 <jjj> he does not have my trust. i think he delusional and manipulative

00:49:27 <sd> but he does indirectly via others have the site.

00:49:30 <jjj> well. what is the procedure to be taken in relation to the newsweek article?

00:49:33 <sd> so where does that leave us?

00:49:53 <sd> FROM NOW ON NO ONE TALKS TO MEDIA

00:49:57 <sd> that is a condition of opening discussions

00:50:13 <z> I agree with a media blackout.

00:50:18 <sd> I for one will walk if anyone talks to media

00:50:36 <sd> everyone is inside this tent pissing out please.

00:50:39 <jjj> it is not acceptable to engage in that kind of attack and just pretend everything is fine. its not

00:50:43 <sd> (local expression)

00:50:48 <Odin> jjj: What are your accusations specifically?

00:51:55 <sd> I have a minor problem at this end so if I drop out I will return (I hope)

00:52:20 <kr> I don't think the Newsweek blog merits a response in it self - but it might spin of. I believe in general that there needs to be an open line with the media. Not to talk on internal issues of course

00:52:48 <jjj> i have continually held of dealing with him because it is always the wrong time and then he greates tremendous troubbles

00:52:49 <sd> sorry I meant no one talks about THIS issue in the media

00:53:00 <sd> obviously we need to talk the media generally

00:53:14 <z> N is coming.

00:53:29 <jjj> kr: yes. i think it doesn't warrant a direct response. it is not well read or seen as credible

00:53:46 <jjj> good

00:53:46 <sd> we do not flash our dirty underwear in the media

00:53:57 <jjj> its not just about the media

00:54:22 <jjj> we do not discuss things with any outsider

00:54:41 <jjj> not of that level

00:54:59 <jjj> when in crisis

00:55:34 <Odin> Who declares crisis?

00:55:48 <jjj> should be obvious to everyone

00:55:53 <z> Lets take a straw poll

00:56:03 <jjj> if it isn't, those people have got to go, because they have no judgement

00:56:18 <z> We are in at least three crises

00:56:22 <z> One regarding personal issues

00:56:28 <z> One regarding technology

00:56:37 <z> One regarding pending data and overwhelming attacks.

00:56:51 <z> We need to centralize our communication and calm down.

00:56:51 <jjj> four

00:57:11 <jjj> The production schedule for what has been setup out of london

00:57:21 <z> yes, that is the third

00:57:26 <z> i lumped together ten other issues.

00:57:43 <jjj> z. I like having that and all the attacks in one  
00:58:08 <z> I mean the DOD - not these stupid rape charges  
00:58:26 <jjj> stupid rape charges are gone  
00:58:50 <z> Yes.  
00:58:51 <z> I know.  
00:58:57 <jjj> now there's some PR overflow and some possible wildcards  
00:59:14 <jjj> none are interesting  
00:59:23 <jjj> if it wasn't for me being so "interesting"  
00:59:37 <jjj> and opposed  
00:59:51 <sd> back. had to send off the spy. :-)  
01:00:12 <z> Ok - N will arrive shortly.  
01:00:27 <z> I need to go for now.  
01:00:31 <jjj> anyway  
01:00:37 <z> I will read the backlog.  
01:00:38 <jjj> i think we got quite far tonight  
01:00:45 <z> We need to agree to a .onion.  
01:00:54 <z> If someone gives me a system, I will configure it for all of us.  
01:01:10 <jjj> odin?  
01:01:12 <z> If someone else can setup the irc or silcd, i will help  
01:01:18 <Odin> Reading.  
01:01:30 <sd> sorry: z config a central talk point?  
01:01:31 <jjj> until then, we meet here  
01:01:41 <z> Yes.  
01:01:42 <z> Ok  
01:01:43 <sd> guys I am sorry to ask this but i need someone to check on my system  
01:01:44 <z> We meet here.  
01:01:45 <sd> M was due to finish it  
01:01:50 <jjj> #mission1st  
01:02:11 <sd> i am not confident in its security and I dont want to come to the  
talk and be a point of leakage  
01:02:38 <jjj> for the laptop?  
01:02:45 <sd> yes. not this one  
01:02:53 <sd> the one I am trying to get secured..  
01:03:01 <sd> it was started but I dont think complete in its config  
01:03:14 <sd> something about the encryption system being the wrong one and I dont  
know if it was changed or not  
01:03:23 <sd> also need to check it can work with a stick  
01:03:30 <sd> as drivers a prob in past  
01:03:40 <z> Can someone please key this channel?  
01:03:43 <sd> Im sorry to bring this up here everyone.  
01:03:45 <z> so that we can keep strangers out?  
01:04:22 <sd> otherwise, I know everyone has their hands full, J I guess you can  
just brief me after the fact  
01:04:47 /names  
01:04:47 \*\*\* NAMES @conficker @jjj @kr @Odin @p @z sd  
01:04:54 <sd> which is fine  
01:05:18 <sd> because i'd rather have that than be a point of insecurity for ALL  
our enemies  
01:06:44 \*\*\* n JOIN  
01:06:46 <jjj> z, there is no chan list here  
01:06:50 <jjj> chan is the key  
01:06:59 <z> welcome N  
01:07:08 <jjj> N  
01:07:18 <jjj> so pleased to see you  
01:07:24 <n> Hi.  
01:07:40 <sd> ?  
01:08:32 <z> Someone should brief N  
01:08:34 <jjj> sd, n is a friend of mine and z  
01:08:39 <jjj> i will brief n

01:08:42 <jjj> i have partly before  
01:08:49 <jjj> things went even more silly  
01:18:28 <sd> when was this discussion planned for?  
01:22:54 \*\*\* MODE +oo sd n  
01:25:10 <jjj> ok  
01:25:12 <jjj> n briefed  
01:25:51 <jjj> btw  
01:25:56 <jjj> does someone have a full log?  
01:27:01 <Odin> I have a single buffer since joining here.  
01:28:48 <jjj> good  
01:28:55 <jjj> can you send to me on jab?  
01:36:22 <jjj> ok. people get tired so i call meeting to a close  
01:36:41 <jjj> i will send odins log to those who were not here at the start  
01:37:07 <Odin> Mind if I give it to polypoid as well?  
01:37:21 <jjj> nope. please do  
01:37:28 <Odin> 'k.  
01:42:27 <sd> does anyone have a line to M at all?  
01:48:47 <sd> I have to go folks.  
01:49:54 <sd> I will try to log on in about 6-8 hours. Can someone - anyone - who  
has a line to M try to find out if the project started was completed. Otherwise I  
can't be in any conversation of a secure nature.  
01:50:03 <sd> bye for now.  
01:50:34 \*\*\* sd PART #mission1st Leaving  
01:56:19 \*\*\* jjj QUIT Leaving